

(21) Application No 9311048.4

(22) Date of filing 28.05.1993

(30) Priority data
(31) 9211648 (32) 02.06.1992 (33) GB

(71) Applicant
Racal-Datacom Limited

(Incorporated in the United Kingdom)

Western Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 1RG,
United Kingdom

(72) Inventor
Simon Anthony Blair Avarne

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service
R W Obee
Racal Group Services Limited,
Group Patents Department, Richmond Court,
309 Fleet Road, Fleet, Hampshire, GU13 8BU,
United Kingdom

(51) INT CL⁵
H04L 9/32

(52) UK CL (Edition L)
H4P PDCSA
G4A AAP

(56) Documents cited
US 5153919 A US 4393269 A

(58) Field of search
UK CL (Edition L) H4P PDCSA PDCSX
INT CL⁵ H04L
Online databases: WPI, INSPEC, CLAIMS, JAPIO.

(54) Data communication system

(57) In a data communication system a plurality of users are equipped with respective devices for computation and authentication of message authenticators. Each device stores a common cryptographic function; a common secret key and a respective non-secret offset for the key. They are programmed to perform the functions of: (i) computing and outputting an authenticator for an entered message using the cryptographic function and key combined with the respective offset; and (ii) computing an authenticator for an entered message using the cryptographic function and key combined with any entered offset, comparing that authenticator with one received with the message and displaying a "pass" or "fail" decision. The devices are incapable of displaying or otherwise outputting any authenticator computed using any offset other than its respective stored offset, however. In this way it can be ensured that a transmitted authenticator can act as a verifiable "signature" to a message uniquely identifying the sender.

Fig. 1.




Fig. 1.






Fig. 2.



3/3

Fig. 3.



2267631

-1-

5

Data Communication System

10

The present invention relates to data communications and more particularly is concerned with techniques for the authentication both of messages flowing across a communications network and of their senders - factors of
15 great importance e.g. in the field of electronic funds-transfer and for other systems carrying financial or other commercially-sensitive information.

In such systems it is clearly important to be able to
20 detect at the receiving end any active attack upon, or other corruption of, a message which might have taken place in the course of its transmission across the network. An active attack might take the form of an interceptor adding, removing or altering information in
25 the message with criminal or vexatious intent. While it may be almost impossible to prevent an active attack there are various mechanisms which aim to ensure that such an attack will be detected and hence can be rendered nugatory. The most common depends upon the generation,
30 prior to transmission, of a cryptographic check-sum or message authentication code - termed herein an "authenticator" - from the information contained in the message, which is then appended to the message for transmission. The theory underlying this approach is
35 that if the recipient himself computes the expected authenticator from the message as received using the same cryptographic transformation, and finds it to disagree with the authenticator actually received with the message, then he will know that the message has been

altered in some way. If on the other hand the expected and received authenticators match then he knows with a high degree of probability that the message has not been altered, that probability increasing with the length of the authenticator. An example of this in common usage is the system described in American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards X9.9 and X9.19. Within these standards the cryptographic algorithm is the Data Encryption Algorithm as described in ANSI X3.92, the cryptographic key is a 56-bit DEA key and the authenticator is a 32-bit value appended to the message.

The success of such a system of message authentication of course depends on maintaining the secrecy of the cryptographic key from would-be interceptors. In any such system the same key must, however, be known to, or at least available for the computation of authenticators by, both the sender and recipient. In known systems this raises the possibility that one user might impersonate another and, since the foregoing possibility exists, that a user might attempt to repudiate a genuine transaction. That is to say, while a recipient may be certain from the contents of a received authenticator that any given message was received in the form in which it was originally sent, the authenticator itself cannot guarantee that the sender was the person whom the message purports it to be because the same authenticator generating key is available to all users.

The present invention seeks to address this problem and accordingly in one aspect resides in a data communication system in which a plurality of users are equipped with respective devices each for the computation and for the verification of message authenticators; each such device storing a common cryptographic function, a common secret cryptographic key and a respective non-secret offset for said key; and each such device being programmed to perform selectably the functions of: (i) computing and outputting an authenticator for a message inputted to the

device, using said cryptographic function and said key combined with the respective stored offset; and (ii) computing an authenticator for a message inputted to the device, using said cryptographic function and said key
5 combined with an inputted offset, comparing that authenticator with an authenticator inputted to the device and outputting an indication of verification or non-verification based on said comparison; but each said device being incapable of outputting an authenticator
10 computed using said cryptographic function and said key combined with any offset other than its respective said stored offset. An "offset" in this invention is a value which effectively modifies the common cryptographic key in a respective manner.

15 In the operation of this system for message authentication a received authenticator will only be verified by a recipient if he has performed the aforesaid function (ii) using the key offset which corresponds to
20 the device of the sender of the message. An essential feature of the system, however, is that the only user who can output (and thus transmit) an authenticator using the offset which corresponds to his own device is that user himself. The authenticator can therefore act as a
25 verifiable "digital signature" identifying the sender of a message, the value of this offset effectively being the sender's ID within the system.

It is important that the (unmodified) common key stored
30 in the devices of this system remains secret, but the offsets corresponding to respective users need not. Indeed the sender's respective offset must be made known to the recipient in order for him to perform the authenticator verification function. If a user should
35 send a message with an authenticator computed with his own device and respective offset but purports to be some other user, however, the received authenticator will not match the authenticator computed by the recipient using the purported user's offset and he will therefore know

that the message is not genuine. Furthermore, the knowledge of users' offsets by an unauthorised person does not provide any means for subverting the system without knowledge also of the common key.

5

The invention also resides per se in an aforesaid device for use in the above-defined system. In a preferred embodiment each such device is in the form of a portable token akin to a hand-held calculator, having a key pad
10 for the entry of message data, authenticators to be verified and corresponding offset values, and for entering the commands to perform the aforesaid functions (i) and (ii), and a display for indicating computed authenticators and verification or non-verification
15 decisions. Additionally or alternatively an electrical interface may be provided for the direct input and output of messages and authenticators from/to an associated terminal apparatus. The physical and electrical construction of the token should be such as to prevent
20 anyone from reading the secret common key, changing the stored offset value or changing the functionality of the token - and in particular from deriving an output of any authenticator computed during the first phase of the above-defined verification function (ii). In practice
25 this can readily be achieved by implementing all of the token's functions in a single microprocessor chip.

In another aspect of the invention, since any message with its corresponding authenticator will serve to verify
30 its sender the same equipment as provided in the above-defined system can be used primarily for the purpose of verifying members of a group using a communications network, in circumstances which do not require the authentication of messages as such, but where possession
35 of an authorised device is a prerequisite to membership of the group. For example there can be considered a distributed database system to which a large number of different users may wish to obtain access. Each database operator might not need to know the individual

identities of all of the users who may request access at any time but does need to know that any person to whom access is to be given has been authorised by the allocation of a said device. In this aspect the user who wishes to verify the authenticity of another user generates a random number which is issued as a "challenge" to that user. This number is then processed by that user in accordance with function (i) of his device, where the "challenge" number constitutes the inputted message in the invention as defined above, and outputs a "response" number (which constitutes the authenticator computed for that message). This "response" number, together with that user's offset, is then transmitted to the first user who uses his own device (or equivalent) to perform the verification function (ii) of the invention where the "challenge" number constitutes the inputted message and the "response" number constitutes the inputted authenticator.

The invention will now be more particularly exemplified with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:-

Figure 1 is a diagram illustrating the functions performed in computing and verifying an authenticator in connection with the transmission of a message in a system according to the invention;

Figure 2 is a diagram illustrating the functions performed in computing and verifying a response to an identification challenge in a system according to the invention; and

Figure 3 is a perspective view of an individual token for use in a system according to the invention.

Referring to Figure 1, this illustrates two user stations A and B within an overall data communication system comprising many such stations between which messages are sent, e.g. payment instructions within a banking network.

At each station the user is equipped with a respective electronic token for use in computing authenticators to be appended to messages sent by that user and for verifying authenticators received with messages from other users. Each such token has been initialised with a common cryptographic function (e.g. the Data Encryption Algorithm of ANSI X3.92), a common secret cryptographic key and an individual offset value for that key. Each token has also been programmed to perform two distinct functions, namely: (i) to compute and display an authenticator from an inputted message using the common cryptographic function and the common key as modified by that token's own stored offset; and (ii) to compute an authenticator from an inputted message using the common cryptographic function and the common key as modified by an inputted offset, compare it with an inputted authenticator and display the result of that comparison as a pass or fail; but it cannot perform any other function using the secret key. In particular, the token cannot display or otherwise output any authenticator computed in the course of its verification function (ii), but can only compare it as aforesaid and display the result.

An individual token for use in this system may take the form indicated in Figure 3, namely a hand-held device 1 similar in appearance to a conventional personal calculator (the usual functions of which it may also perform). A keypad 2 is provided for the entry of data and a series of function keys 3 for entry of the commands appropriate to its operation. A liquid crystal display 4 is provided for checking the entered data, issuing prompts and displaying authenticators and pass/fail decisions. Preferably operation of the token itself requires entry of a user-defined PIN, to minimise the risk of its misuse if lost or stolen.

Returning to Figure 1 it is assumed that the user at station A has a message to send to station B. He enters

the message into his token and enters the command for the token to perform its computation function (i). The message is therefore encrypted under the common key as modified by that token's stored offset (offset A) to produce and display a corresponding authenticator. The message, together with this authenticator and the value of the offset A is then transmitted over the communications network to station B. The user at station B wants to verify that the message has been received without alteration and also to verify that the sender of the message is who it is purported to be, namely user A. He therefore enters the message, the received authenticator and the received offset A into his own token and enters the command for the token to perform its verification function (ii). The message is therefore encrypted under the same key as modified by the same offset as in the user A's token to produce (but not display) an authenticator which is then compared with the received authenticator, and if it matches the token displays a "pass" result. By this means user B can be confident that the message has been received without alteration. Since he also knows that the sender of the message must have had the authenticator displayed to him in order to transmit it and that the only token which can display that authenticator in response to that message is the one which has been initialised with offset A then he can also be confident that it was actually sent by user A.

30 These same tokens can be used in any other communication system where users wish to be able to verify the identity of other users but without necessarily involving message authentication as such. An example of this is shown in Figure 2. In this case the user at station B wishes to verify that the user at station A is an authorised user of the network. He accordingly uses an additional function of his token to generate and display a random number, which is transmitted to user A as a "challenge". User A then uses his token to encrypt that number under

the common key as modified by his offset A to produce and display a "response" number. He transmits this number together with the value of his offset A to user B who enters them into his token and performs the same
5 verification function as if the original "challenge" number was a received message and the "response" number was the corresponding authenticator. If a "pass" result is displayed, he similarly knows that user A is genuine because only that user could have had knowledge of the
10 given "response" from the "challenge" using offset A in the encryption.

CLAIMS

1. A data communication system in which a plurality of users are equipped with respective devices each for the computation and for the verification of message authenticators; each such device storing a common cryptographic function, a common secret cryptographic key and a respective non-secret offset for said key; and each such device being programmed to perform selectably the functions of: (i) computing and outputting an authenticator for a message inputted to the device, using said cryptographic function and said key combined with the respective stored offset; and (ii) computing an authenticator for a message inputted to the device, using said cryptographic function and said key combined with any inputted offset, comparing that authenticator with an authenticator inputted to the device and outputting an indication of verification or non-verification based on said comparison; but each said device being incapable of outputting any authenticator computed using said cryptographic function and said key combined with any offset other than its respective said stored offset.

2. A method of transmitting and verifying a message from a first user to a second user in a data communication system according to claim 1, which comprises the steps of: the first user operating a first said device to compute and output an authenticator for the message to be transmitted, by performing the said function (i); transmitting to the second user the message, the authenticator computed therefor and the identity of the respective offset stored in the first said device; and the second user operating a second said device to verify the authenticator received from the first user, by performing the said function (ii) with said key combined with the offset identified with the first said device.

3. A method of verifying a first user to a second user in a data communication system according to claim 1, which comprises the steps of: the second user generating a random message and transmitting the same to the first user; the first user operating a first said device to compute and output an authenticator for said random message, by performing the said function (i); transmitting to the second user the authenticator computed for said random message and the identity of the respective offset stored in the first said device; and the second user operating a second said device to verify the authenticator received from the first user, by performing the said function (ii) with said key combined with the offset identified with the first said device.

15

4. A device for a user of a data communication system to compute and verify message authenticators, the device comprising: means storing a cryptographic function common to the users of the system, a secret cryptographic key common to the users of the system and a respective non-secret offset for said key; input means for messages to be sent by the user or received from other users and for offsets and authenticators received from other users; output means for authenticators to be transmitted by the user and for indicating verification or non-verification of authenticators received from other users; and processing means adapted to perform at the selection of the user the functions of: (i) computing and outputting an authenticator for a message inputted to the device, using said cryptographic function and said key combined with the respective stored offset; and (ii) computing an authenticator for a message inputted to the device, using said cryptographic function and said key combined with any inputted offset, comparing that authenticator with an authenticator inputted to the device and outputting an indication of verification or non-verification based on said comparison; but said device being incapable of outputting any authenticator computed using said

cryptographic function and said key combined with any offset other than its respective said stored offset.

5. A data communication system substantially as
5 hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

- 12 -

Patents Act 1977
Examiner's report to the Comptroller under
Section 17 (The Search Report)

Application number

GB 9311048.4

Relevant Technical fields

(i) UK Cl (Edition L) H4P (PDCSA, PDL SX)

(ii) Int Cl (Edition 5) HD4L

Databases (see over)

(i) UK Patent Office

(ii) ONLINE DATABASES: WPI, INSPCE, CLAIMS, JAP1D

Search Examiner

DR E PLUMMER

Date of Search

17 AUGUST 1993

Documents considered relevant following a search in respect of claims ALL

Category (see over)	Identity of document and relevant passages	Relevant to claim(s)
A	US 5153919 AT & T	
A	US 4393269 IBM	

Category	Identity of document and relevant passages	Relevant to claim(s)

Categories of documents

X: Document indicating lack of novelty or of inventive step.

Y: Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of the same category.

A: Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.

P: Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of the present application.

E: Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of the present application.

&: Member of the same patent family, corresponding document.

Databases: The UK Patent Office database comprises classified collections of GB, EP, WO and US patent specifications as outlined periodically in the Official Journal (Patents). The on-line databases considered for search are also listed periodically in the Official Journal (Patents).

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)